

## 5.0 CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION

---

### 5.1 Public Participation and Notification

The public participation and notification program for the SEIR/SEIS focuses on two areas of CEQA and NEPA: (1) Notice of Preparation (NOP) and Notice of Intent (NOI), which initiate the SEIR and SEIS processes; and (2) Draft SEIR/SEIS public review. This section describes the specific public outreach methods that were used for this SEIR/SEIS in order to comply with these requirements.

Per State CEQA Guidelines §15163(c), a supplement to an EIR must be given the same kind of notice and public review as is given to a draft EIR under §15087 (Public Review of a Draft EIR), but does not require scoping meetings. Per 40 CFR 1502.9(c)(4), the Forest Service must prepare, circulate, and file a supplement to an EIS in the same fashion (exclusive of scoping) as a draft and final statement unless alternative procedures are approved by the Council on Environmental Quality. Scoping meetings are not required. However, the Lead Agencies (CPUC and Forest Service) have agreed to inform the public, affected agencies, and other interested parties about the proposed changes to the Approved Project (see Section 5.1.1 below), and consulted with affected resource agencies on issues to be addressed in the SEIR/SEIS.

#### 5.1.1 Notices of Preparation and Intent

The CPUC issued a Notice of Preparation (NOP) of a SEIR/SEIS on September 24, 2012 (see Appendix A). The NOP was mailed via certified mail to federal, State, and local agencies. Over 3,400 postcards containing information similar to that required by CEQA for the NOP were mailed to Native American tribal representatives, elected officials, property owners, and other interested parties. Additional copies of the NOP were delivered to the local repository sites (see Section 5.1.5 for document repository sites). In total, over 4,000 notices were mailed. The NOP was also published in each of the 16 newspapers used to advertise the Draft EIR/EIS, including the following: Los Angeles Daily News (September 24, 2012), Los Angeles Times (September 24, 2012), Antelope Valley Press (September 24, 2012), The Signal Newspaper (September 24, 2012), Whittier Daily News (September 25, 2012), La Opinion (September 24, 2012), Chinese LA Daily News (September 24, 2012), The Korea Times (September 24, 2012), Pasadena Star News (September 24, 2012), San Gabriel Valley Tribune (September 24, 2012), Inland Valley Daily Bulletin (September 24, 2012), Agua Dulce/Acton Country Journal (September 29, 2012), Champion Newspaper (September 29, 2012), Acton/Agua Dulce News (September 24, 2012), Rosamond News (September 24, 2012), and The Star Progress (September 27, 2012). See Appendix A for copies of notices and newspaper advertisements.

A Notice of Intent (NOI) to prepare a SEIR/SEIS was published in the *Federal Register* on September 26, 2012 (see Appendix A).

The NOP and NOI provided agencies, organizations, and interested parties with an opportunity to comment on the scope of the SEIR/SEIS; comment on alternatives under consideration; and to identify additional issues that may need to be addressed in the SEIR/SEIS.

#### 5.1.2 Notice of Completion and Availability

Per State CEQA Guidelines §15085, the Notice of Completion (NOC) is a document that must be filed with the State Clearinghouse as soon as the Draft EIR (or in this case Draft SEIR/SEIS) is completed. The CEQA Lead Agency must also provide public notice of the availability of the Draft EIR at the same time it sends the NOC to the State Clearinghouse (State CEQA Guidelines §15087). Lastly, the NOA should be posted at the county clerk for at least 30 days (State CEQA Guidelines §15087[d]).

In compliance with NEPA (40 CFR 1506.6(b)(2)), a NOA of the Draft EIS (or in this case Draft SEIR/SEIS) must also be published in the *Federal Register*, thus initiating the public comment period. The NOA must be mailed to the USEPA, which is required to review all EISs; the USEPA is also responsible for publishing the NOA once it is received (40 CFR 1506.9, 1506.10).

### 5.1.3 Supplemental Draft EIR/EIS Review

Per State CEQA Guidelines §15163(c), a supplement to an EIR must be given the same kind of notice and public review as is given to a draft EIR under §15087 (Public Review of a Draft EIR). CEQA states that the public review, or comment, period for a Draft EIR (or in this case Draft SEIR/SEIS) should be between 30 to 60 days, except when the Draft EIR has been submitted to the State Clearinghouse, in which case the review period is not less than and is normally 45 days (CEQA Guidelines, CCR §15087(e); §15105(a); §15205(d)).

Per 40 CFR 1502.9(c)(4), the Forest Service must prepare, circulate, and file a supplement to a statement in the same fashion (exclusive of scoping) as a draft and final statement unless alternative procedures are approved by the Council. As stated in 40 CFR 1506.9, NEPA requires the lead agency to circulate the Draft EIS to agencies and the public before or at the same time it is transmitted to the USEPA. Public review of the Draft EIS should be at least 45 days (40 CFR 1506.10) and comments should be obtained from federal agencies with jurisdiction, and requested from appropriate State and local agencies, Native American tribes, agency requesting receipt of statements, the applicant, and the public (40 CFR 1503.1[a]; [b]).

There will be a 45-day public review period for the Draft SEIR/SEIS, which will start on April 20, 2013 and end on June 3, 2013.

### 5.1.4 Document Repository Sites

Both CEQA (CCR §15087(c)(5) and §15087(g)) and NEPA (40 CFR 1506.6(f)) require lead agencies to make project documents available to the public for review. Placing documents in repository sites is an effective way of providing ongoing information about the project to a large number of people. The CEQA/NEPA documents prepared as part of the SEIR/SEIS, which include the NOP, NOI, NOA, Draft SEIR/SEIS, have been made available at the following public repository sites listed in Table 5-1.

**Table 5-1. Public Repository Sites**

Repository Site	Address	Telephone
<b>USDA Forest Service, Angeles National Forest</b>		
ANF Supervisor's Office	701 N. Santa Anita Ave., Arcadia, CA 91006	626-574-5200
Santa Clara/Mojave Rivers Ranger District	28245 Avenue Crocker, Suite 220, Valencia, CA 91355	661-296-9710
Los Angeles River Ranger District	12371 N. Little Tujunga Canyon Rd., San Fernando, CA 91342	818-899-1900
San Gabriel River Ranger District	110 N. Wabash Ave., Glendora, CA 91741	626-335-1251
<b>Public Libraries</b>		
Arcadia Library	20 West Duarte Rd., Arcadia, CA 91006	626-821-5567
Azusa City Library	729 N. Dalton Ave., Azusa, CA 91702	626-812-5232
Baldwin Park Library	4181 Baldwin Park Blvd., Baldwin Park, CA 91706	626-962-6947
Diamond Bar Library	1061 S. Grand Ave., Diamond Bar, CA 91765	909-861-4978
Duarte Public Library	1301 Buena Vista St., Duarte, CA 91010	626-358-1865
El Monte Library	3224 Tyler Ave., El Monte, CA 91731	626-444-9506
Irwindale Public Library	5050 N. Irwindale Ave., Irwindale, CA 91706	626-430-2229
James S. Thalman Chino Hills Branch Library	14020 City Center Dr., Chino Hills, CA 91709	909-590-5380
La Cañada Flintridge Library	4545 N. Oakwood Ave., La Cañada Flintridge, CA 91011	818-790-3330
Lancaster Public Library	601 W. Lancaster Blvd., Lancaster, CA 93534	661-948-5029
Monrovia Public Library	843 E. Olive Ave., Monrovia, CA 91016	626-256-8274
Montebello Library	1550 W. Beverly Blvd., Montebello, CA 90640	323-722-6551
Monterey Park Bruggemeyer Library	318 S. Ramona Ave., Monterey Park, CA 91754	626-307-1368
Ontario Main Library	215 East "C" St., Ontario, CA 91764	909-395-2004
Palmdale City Library	700 E. Palmdale Blvd., Palmdale, CA 93550	616-267-5600
Pasadena Central Library	285 E. Walnut St., Pasadena, CA 91101	626-744-4066

**Table 5-1. Public Repository Sites**

Repository Site	Address	Telephone
Pico Rivera Library	9001 Mines Ave., Pico Rivera, CA 90660	562-942-7394
Rosemead Library	8800 Valley Blvd., Rosemead, CA 91770	626-573-5220
San Gabriel Public Library	500 S. Del Mar Ave., San Gabriel, CA 91776	626-287-0761
San Marino (Crowell) Public Library	1890 Huntington Dr., San Marino, CA 91108	626-300-0777
South El Monte Library	1430 N. Central Ave., South El Monte, CA 91733	626-443-4158
Temple City Library	5939 Golden West Ave., Temple City, CA 91780	626-285-2136
Whittier Central Library	7344 S. Washington Ave., Whittier, CA 90602	562-464-3450
<b>SCE Service Centers</b>		
Antelope Service Center	42060 10 <sup>th</sup> St. West, Lancaster, CA 93534	661-726-5608
Tehachapi Service Center	421 W. "J" St., Tehachapi, CA 93561	661-726-5608
Whittier Service Center	9901 Geary Ave., Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670	562-903-3106
Monrovia Service Center	1440 S. California Ave., Monrovia, CA 91016	626-303-8429
Covina Service Center	800 W. Cienega Ave., San Dimas, CA 91773	909-592-3758
Ontario Service Center	1351 E. Francis St., Ontario, CA 91761	909-930-8501
Montebello Service Center	1000 E. Potrero Grande Dr., Monterey Park, 91755	323-720-5213
Redlands Service Center	287 Tennessee St., Redlands, CA 92373	909-307-6726

A new e-mail address has been established for SEIR/SEIS (trtpsueir-eis@aspeneg.com) for providing comments on the content of the SEIR/SEIS. The e-mail address was provided in all notices, advertisements, and meeting handouts.

Ongoing information about the TRTP is available through the Project website hosted by the CPUC. The website includes electronic versions of all previous documents, as well as SCE's Petition for Modification of Decision 09-12-044, NOI, NOA, Draft SEIR/SEIS, and Project-related maps, providing another public venue to learn about the Project and the proposed modifications. The website will remain a public information resource for the Project. The website address is:

[ftp://ftp.cpuc.ca.gov/gopher-data/envIRON/tehachapi\\_renewables/TRTP.htm](ftp://ftp.cpuc.ca.gov/gopher-data/envIRON/tehachapi_renewables/TRTP.htm)

### 5.1.5 Project Notification List and Document Distribution List

The TRTP mailing list was revised to support notification efforts for the SEIR/SEIS. The existing TRTP mailing list, which has been maintained throughout the life of the environmental review process (2007-2010) and includes over 15,000 entries, was updated to remove obsolete records (no longer accurate or valid); remove old/outdated property owner information, including all those who did not participated throughout the environmental review process (with the exception of the City of Chino Hills – all parcel records were retained. A new property owner list was added based on the latest Assessor's records); and to remove duplicates. The mailing list was then revised to update existing records (see bullets below), resulting in a total of over 4,000 entries, including:

- Elected officials (updated May 2012)
- Federal, State, and local agency representatives (updated May 2012)
- Regional and Joint Power Authorities
- Angeles National Forest Mailing List (August 2012)
- CPUC Service List (April 2012)
- Property owner list from SCE (within 300 feet of the proposed modifications – May 2012)
- Wind developers
- Tribal government representatives
- Interested parties, including all those who previously participated in scoping meetings, Draft EIR/EIS public meetings, and/or submitted written comments
- Local libraries/document repository site

This revised mailing list was used to distribute the NOA and the postcard notices. This list will continue to be used throughout the remainder of the SEIR/SEIS process to distribute public notices.

## 5.2 Organizations and Persons Consulted for the SEIR/SEIS

State CEQA Guidelines §15129 states that an “EIR shall identify all federal, state, or local agencies, other organizations, and private individuals consulted in preparing the draft EIR.” Table 5-2 provides a listing of those persons consulted as part of the preparation of this SEIR/SEIS. Please refer to Final EIR and Final EIS Table 7-7 for a complete listing of organizations and persons consulted during the preparation of the Final EIR and Final EIS, which formulate the basis of much of the analysis presented in this SEIR/SEIS.

**Table 5-2. Organizations and Persons Consulted**

Name	Title	Organization/Agency
<i>Visual Resources</i>		
Jose Henriquez-Santos	Landscape Architect	Angeles National Forest
Michael Huntley	Director of Planning and Community Development	City of Montebello
<i>Cumulative Projects List</i>		
Craig Jimenez	Planning Division Manager	Monrovia Planning Department
Fernando Solis	Planning Intern	El Monte Planning Department
Fred Buss	Senior Planner	La Canada Planning Department
Adam Gulick	Associate Planner	Temple City Planning Department
Susan Cole	Senior Planner	Azusa Planning Department
Amy Harbin	City Planner	Baldwin Park Planning Department
Michael Kellison	Project Planner	Chino Planning Department
David Reyes	Principal Planner/Zoning Administrator	Pasadena Planning Department
Sheri Bermejo	City Planner	Rosemead Planning Department
Brian James	Senior Planner	Industry Planning Department
Stella Marquez	Administrative Coordinator	Diamond Bar Planning Department
Aldo Cervantes	Senior Planner	San Marino Planning Department
Lisa Flores	Senior Planner	Arcadia Planning Department
Catherine Lin	Assistant Planner	Yorba Linda Planning Department
Beth Chow	Assistant Planner	La Puente Planning Department
Christopher Mynk	Supervising Planner	Kern County Planning Department
David Meyer	City Planner	Bradbury Planning Department

## 5.3 Preparers and Contributors

Table 5-3 provides a listing of those persons from the Lead Agency who were involved in the review of this SEIR.

**Table 5-3. Lead Agency Project Team**

Name	Agency	Title
John Boccio	California Public Utilities Commission	CEQA Project Manager
Jason Reiger	California Public Utilities Commission	Legal Counsel
Elizabeth Dorman	California Public Utilities Commission	Legal Counsel
Marty Dumpis	USDA Forest Service	ANF Deputy Forest Supervisor
Justin Seastrand	USDA Forest Service	NEPA Coordinator
Lorraine Gerchas	USDA Forest Service	TRTP SEIR/SEIS Project Manager
L'Tanga Watson	USDA Forest Service	Recreation and Lands Staff Officer
Jose Henriquez-Santos	USDA Forest Service	ANF Landscape Architect

In accordance with CEQA (State CEQA Guidelines §15063(d)(6)), Table 5-4 provides a list of the persons that prepared, or participated in the preparation of, this SEIR/SEIS. Also included in Table 5-4 are the qualifications (professional certifications, education, area of expertise, and years of experience) of the individual members of the SEIR/SEIS team. Please refer to Final EIR and Final EIS Table 7-9 for a complete listing of the preparers and reviewers of the Final EIR and Final EIS, which formulates the basis of much of the analysis presented in this SEIR/SEIS.

**Table 5-4. SEIR/SEIS Preparers and Reviewers**

Name	Degree/Expertise (Years of Experience)	Role
<b>SEIR/SEIS Prime Contractor</b>		
<i>Aspen Environmental Group (Primary Consultant)</i>		
Jon Davidson	Master of Urban and Regional Planning; BA Urban Planning (30 years)	Principal
Lisa Blewitt	BS Chemical Engineering (15 years)	Project Manager, Project Description, Alternatives
Will Walters, PE	PE Chemical Engineering; BS Chemical Engineering; AQ Specialist (25 years)	Air Quality, Greenhouse Gases (GHG)
Chris Huntley	Graduate Studies in Biology; BA Biology (20 years)	Biological Resources
Jennifer Lancaster	MS Biology; BS Biology (10 years)	Biological Resources
Scott Debauche	BS Urban & Regional Planning (16 years)	Noise, Air Quality/GHG, Traffic and Transportation
Susanne Huerta	Master of Urban Planning; BA Geography (5 years)	Cumulative Projects
Aubrey Mescher	Master of Environmental Science and Management; BA, Environmental Studies and Film Theory (7 years)	Cumulative Projects
Jordanne Gregorio	BS Chemical Engineering (1 year)	Cumulative Projects
Stanley Yeh	MPA Environmental Policy; BS Environmental Studies (14 years)	Other CEQA Considerations
Kati Simpson	BA Geography (26 years)	Computer Graphics
Judy Spicer	BA English (45 years)	Contracting; Document Production
<b>SEIR/SEIS Subcontractors</b>		
<i>William Kanemoto &amp; Associates</i>		
William Kanemoto	M. Landscape Architecture; B.A. Liberal Arts (26 years)	Visual Resources
Martha Goodavish	M.C.R.P. City and Regional Planning, Environmental Planning; BA Landscape Architecture (25 years)	Visual Resources
<b>3DScape</b>		
Timothy Zack	Bachelor's Degree of Architecture (16 years)	Design Visualization